

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/912,570 07/24/2001		Roberto DeLima	RSW9-2000-0124-US1	5486		
25259	7590 08/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
IBM CORPORATION			PHILLIPS,	PHILLIPS, HASSAN A		
3039 CORNWALLIS RD. DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
REASEARCH TRÍANGLE PARK, NC 27709			2151	· · ·		

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	100000
09/912,570	DELIMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Hassan Phillips	2151	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ','		(DTOL 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Alanah Eladanan dara	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-6 and 8-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	•
13.		2/8	
		A FAITENI D	B. BURGESS
		SHDERVISORY DA	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/912,570

Continuation of 3. NOTE: applicants proposed amendments to the independent claims similarly recite "storing a configuration file in a memory of each one of said plurality of severs..." This limitation has not been considered by the examiner in previous actions. Thus, the proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.